SAR TEST REPORT



Report No.: 16070503T

Supersede Report No.: N/A

Applicant	Cellsafe PTY LTD		
Product Name	Cellsafe chip		
Model No.	Samsung S7 & S7 edge		
Serial Model	N/A	N/A	
Standards	EN50360-2001/A1:2012 & EN50566-2013/AC:2014 EN62209-1:2006 & EN62209-2:2010		
Test Date	March 31, 2016 to May 6, 2016		
Issue Date	May 12, 2016		
Test Result	PASS		
Equipment complied with the specification			
Equipment did not comply with the specification			
1./iba	, T D	40.000	101-740-760-5-24101

Wiky.Jam	David Huang	
Wiky Jam Test Engineer	David Huang Checked By	
This test report may be reproduced in full only		

Test result presented in this test report is applicable to the tested sample only

Issued by:

SIEMIC (SHENZHEN-CHINA) LABORATORIES

Zone A, Floor 1, Building 2 Wan Ye Long Technology Park South Side of Zhoushi Road, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong China 518108 Phone: +86 0755 2601 4629801 Email: China@siemic.com.cn



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	2 of 89

Laboratory Introduction

SIEMIC, headquartered in the heart of Silicon Valley, with superior facilities in US and Asia, is one of the leading independent testing and certification facilities providing customers with one-stop shop services for Compliance Testing and Global Certifications.



In addition to <u>testing</u> and <u>certification</u>, SIEMIC provides initial design reviews and <u>compliance</u> <u>management</u> through out a project. Our extensive experience with <u>China</u>, <u>Asia Pacific</u>, <u>North</u> <u>America</u>, <u>European</u>, <u>and international</u> compliance requirements, assures the fastest, most cost effective way to attain regulatory compliance for the <u>global markets</u>.

SIEMIC (Shenzhen-China) Laboratories Accreditations for Conformity Assessment

Country/Region	Scope
USA	EMC , RF/Wireless , Telecom
Canada	EMC, RF/Wireless , Telecom
Taiwan	EMC, RF, Telecom , Safety
Hong Kong	RF/Wireless ,Telecom
Australia	EMC, RF, Telecom , Safety
Korea	EMI, EMS, RF , Telecom, Safety
Japan	EMI, RF/Wireless, Telecom
Singapore	EMC , RF , Telecom
Europe	EMC, RF, Telecom , Safety



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	3 of 89

This page has been left blank intentionally.



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	4 of 89

CONTENTS

1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY & EUT INFORMATION
2	TECHNICAL DETAILS
3	INTRODUCTION
4	SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP
5	EXPOSURE LIMIT
6	SYSTEM AND LIQUID VALIDATION
7	TYPE A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY
8	TEST INSTRUMENT
9	OUTPUT POWER VERIFICATION
10	SAR TEST RESULTS
ANN	EX A CALIBRATION REPORTS
ANN	EX B SAR SYSTEM PHOTOGRAPHS
ANN	EX C SETUP PHOTO



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	5 of 89

This page has been left blank intentionally.



Test Report No. 16070503T Page

6 of 89

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY & EUT INFORMATION

EUT Information

EUT Description	:	Cellsafe chip	
Model No	:	Samsung S7 & S7 edge	
Serial Model Name		N/A	
Max. SAR Level(s) Measured	:	S7 edge: 0.043W/Kg 10g Head Tissue (SAR with chip) 0.063W/Kg 10g Head Tissue (SAR without chip) S7 0.088W/Kg 10g Head Tissue 0.167W/Kg 10g Head Tissue (SAR without chip) 0.167W/Kg 10g Head Tissue (SAR without chip)	
Classification Per Stipulated Test Standard	:	Mobile Device , Class B, Not support DTM mode	
Co-located TX	•	WWAN can transmit simultaneously with Bluetooth WWAN can transmit simultaneously with wifi WiFi cannot transmit simultaneously with Bluetooth	
Antenna Type	:	Internal antenna	



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	7 of 89

2 TECHNICAL DETAILS

Purpose	Compliance testing of Cellsafe chip model Samsung S7 & S7 edge with Stipulated standard
Applicant / Client	Cellsafe PTY LTD 14/1866 Princes Highway Clayton VIC. 3168 Melbourne AUSTRALIA
Laboratory performing the tests	SIEMIC(Shenzhen-China) Laboratories Zone A,Floor 1,Building 2,Wan Ye Long Technology Park, South Side of Zhoushi Road, Bao'an District, Shenzhen 518108 , Guangdong , P.R.C. Tel: +(86) 0755-26014629 VIP Line:950-4038-0435
Test report reference date	May 12, 2016
Date EUT received	March 31, 2016
Dates of test (from – to)	March 31, 2016~ May 6, 2016
No. of Units:	1
Equipment Category:	PCE
Trade	N/A
Model Name:	Samsung S7 & S7 edge
Serial Model Name	N/A
RF Operating Frequency (ies)	WCDMA Band II :1852.4 ~ 1907.6 MHz(TX); RX : 1932.4 ~ 1987.6 MHz (RX)
Modulation:	WCDMA:QPSK



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	8 of 89

3 INTRODUCTION

Introduction

This measurement report shows compliance of the EUT with EN50360:2001/A1:2012&EN50566:2013/AC: 2014 and Council Recommendation 1999/519/EC.

The test procedures, as described in EN62209-1:2006, Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz), were employed. And EN62209-2:2010, Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless Communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)

SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (ρ).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{\rho dV} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of watts per kilogram (W/kg). SAR can be related to the electric field at a point by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

where:

 σ = conductivity of the tissue (S/m)

 ρ = mass density of the tissue (kg/m3)

E = rms electric field strength (V/m)



16070503T Test Report No. Page


9 of 89

4 SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

Dosimetric Assessment System

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system OPENSAR from SATIMO. The system is based on a high precision robot (working range: 850 mm), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than \pm 0.02 mm. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit.

The SAR measurements were conducted with dosimetric probe (manufactured by SATIMO), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure described in SAR standard with accuracy of better than ±10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in SAR starndard and found to be better than ±0.25 dB. The phantom used was the SAM Phantom as described in FCC supplement C, IEEE P1528 and CENELEC EN62209-1.



The OPENSAR system for performing compliance tests consist of the following items:

- 1. A standard high precision 6-axis robot (KUKA) with controller and software.
- KUKA Control Panel (KCP).
- 3. A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- 4. The functions of the PC plug-in card are to perform the time critical task such as signal filtering, surveillance of the robot operation fast movement interrupts.



- 5. A computer operating Windows XP.
- 6. OPENSAR software.
- 7. Remote control with teaches pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- 8. The SAM phantom enabling testing left-hand right-hand and body usage.
- 9. The Position device for handheld EUT.
- 10. Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes (see Application Note).
- 11. System validation dipoles to validate the proper functioning of the system.



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	11 of 89

EP100 Probe





Construction Symmetrical design with triangular Core. Built-in shielding against static charges Calibration in air from 100 MHz to 2.5 GHz. In brain and muscle simulating tissue at frequencies from 800 to 6000 MHz (accuracy of 8%). Frequency 100 MHz to 6 GHz;

Linearity; 0.25 dB (100 MHz to 6 GHz),

Directivity: 0.25 dB in brain tissue (rotation around probe axis) 0.5 dB in brain tissue (rotation normal probe axis)

Dynamic : 0.001W/kg to > 100W/kg;

Range Linearity: 0.25 dB

Surface : 0.2 mm repeatability in air and liquids

Dimensions Overall length: 330 mm

Tip length: 16 mm

Body diameter: 8 mm

Tip diameter: 2.6 mm

Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: <1.5 mm

Application General dosimetric up to 6 GHz

Compliance tests of mobile Rio (T302) s

Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique, with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates.



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	12 of 89

It is connected to the KRC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. The 3D file of the phantom is include in OpenSAR software. The Video Positioning System allow the system to take the automatic reference and to move the probe safely and accurately on the phantom.

E-Field Probe Calibration Process

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure described in SAR standard with accuracy better than +/- 10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in SAR standard and found to be better than +/-0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested.

The free space E-field from probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies bellow 0.8 GHz, and in a waveguide above 0.8 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. E-field correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue.

SAM Phantom

The SAM Phantom SAM29 is constructed of a fiberglass shell ntegrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is in compliance with the specification set in IEEE P1528 and CENELEC EN62209-1

, EN62209-2:2010.The phantom enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand Rio (T302) usage as well as body mounted usage at the fla phantom region.

A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot.

Shell Thickness: 2 0.2 mm

Filling Volume: Approx. 25 liters

Dimensions (H x L x W): 810 x 1000 x 500 mm

Liquid is filled to at least 15mm from the bottom of Phantom.





Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	13 of 89

Device Holder

In combination with the Generic Twin Phantom V3.0, the Mounting Device enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation points is the ear opening. The devices can be easily, accurately, and repeatedly positioned according to the FCC and CENELEC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).



Note: A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produced infinite number of configurations [10]. To produce the worst-case condition (the hand absorbs antenna output power), the hand is omitted during the tests.

Data Evaluation

Probe Parameters - Sensitivity		Norm _i
	- Conversion factor	ConvFi
	- Diode compression point Dcpi	
Device Parameter	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media Parametrs	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

The OPENSAR software automatically executes the following procedure to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the valuation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can either be found in the component documents or be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the OPENSAR components.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as

 $\begin{array}{ll} V_{i} = U_{i} + U_{i}^{2} \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_{i}} \\ \\ Where \ V_{i} &= Compensated \ signal \ of \ channel \ i \ (i = x, \ y, \ z) \\ \\ U_{i} &= Input \ signal \ of \ channel \ i \ (i = x, \ y, \ z) \\ \\ cf &= Crest \ factor \ of \ exciting \ field (DASY \ parameter) \\ \\ dcp_{i} &= Diode \ compression \ point \ (DASY \ parameter) \end{array}$



From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

H_i = Magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

 $E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR - E_{uv}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

where SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

Etot = total field strength in V/m

 σ = conductivity in [mho/m] or [siemens/m]

ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm3

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field as a free space field.

$$P_{pee} - \frac{E_{aa}^2}{3770}$$
 or $P_{pee} - H_{aa}^2 \cdot 37.7$

where $P_{pwe} = Equivalent$ power density of a plane wave in mW/cm2 $E_{tot} = total electric field strength in V/m$

H_{tot} = total magnetic field strength in A/m



 Test Report No.
 16070503T

 Page
 15 of 89

SAR Evaluation – Peak Spatial - Average

The procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps

• Power Reference Measurement

The reference and drift jobs are useful jobs for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. Both jobs measure the field at a specified reference position, at a selectable distance from the phantom surface. The reference position can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section. The reference job projects the selected point onto the phantom surface, orients the probe perpendicularly to the surface, and approaches the surface using the selected detection method.

Area Scan

The area scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in OPENSAR software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. The scan area is defined by an editable grid. This grid is anchored at the grid reference point of the selected section in the phantom. When the area scan's property sheet is brought-up, grid was at to 15 mm by 15 mm and can be edited by a user.

Zoom Scan

Zoom scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The default zoom scan measures 5 x 5 x 7 points within a cube whose base faces are centered around the maximum found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. If the preceding Area Scan job indicates more then one maximum, the number of Zoom Scans has to be enlarged accordingly (The default number inserted is 1).

Power Drift measurement

The drift job measures the field at the same location as the most recent reference job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last reference measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for one reference measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. In the properties of the Drift job, the user can specify a limit for the drift and have OPENSAR software stop the measurements if this limit is exceeded.

SAR Evaluation – Peak SAR

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the IEEE1529 standard. It can be conducted for 1 g and 10 g. The OPENSAR system allows evaluations that combine measured data and robot positions, such as:

- maximum search
- extrapolation
- boundary correction
- peak search for averaged SAR

During a maximum search, global and local maximum searches are automatically performed in 2-D after each Area Scan measurement with at least 6 measurement points. It is based on the evaluation of the local SAR gradient calculated by the Quadratic Shepard's method. The algorithm will find the global maximum and all local maxima within -2 dB of the global maxima for all SAR distributions.



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	16 of 89

Extrapolation

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. Several measurements at different distances are necessary for the extrapolation.

They are used in the Cube Scan to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The routine uses the fourth order least square polynomial method for extrapolation. For a grid using 5x5x7 measurement points with 5mm resolution amounting to 343 measurement points, the uncertainty of the extrapolation routines is less than 1% for 1 g and 10 g cubes.

Definition of Reference Points

Ear Reference Point

Figure 6.2 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Phantom. The point "M" is the reference point for the center of the mouth, "LE" is the left ear reference point (ERP), and "RE" is the right ERP. The ERPs are 15mm posterior to the entrance to the ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 6.1. The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) is perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Figure 6.1). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning [5].




Figure 6.1 Close-up side view of ERP's



Figure 6.2 Front, back and side view of SAM

Device Reference Points

Two imaginary lines on the device need to be established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device is placed in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point" (See Fig. 6.3). The "test device reference point" is than located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device is positioned so that the "vertical centerline" is bisecting the front surface of the device at it's top and bottom edges, positioning the "ear reference point" on the outer surface of both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point [5].




Figure 6.3 Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line Reference Points



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	17 of 89

Test Configuration – Positioning for Cheek / Touch

1. Position the device close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure below), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the device is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom



Figure 7.1 Front, Side and Top View of Cheek/Touch Position

- 2. Translate the device towards the phantom along the line passing through RE and LE until the device touches the ear.
- 3. While maintaining the device in this plane, rotate it around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline is in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (called the reference plane).
- 4. Rotate the device around the vertical centerline until the device (horizontal line) is symmetrical with respect to the line NF.
- 5. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE and maintaining the device contact with the ear, rotate the device about the line NF until any point on the device is in contact with a phantom point below the ear (cheek). See Figure below.




Figure 7.2 Side view w/ relevant markings



 Test Report No.
 16070503T

 Page
 18 of 89

Test Configuration – Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt

With the test device aligned in the Cheek/Touch Position":

1. While maintaining the orientation of the device, retracted the device parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the device by 15 degrees.

2. Rotate the device around the horizontal line by 15 degrees.

3. While maintaining the orientation of the device, move the device parallel to the reference plane until any part of the device touches the head. (In this position, point A is located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact is at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the device shall be reduced. The tilted position is obtained when any part of the device is in contact with the ear as well as a second part of the device is in contact with the head (see Figure below).




Figure 7.3 Front, Side and Top View of Ear/15° Tilt Position

Test Position – Body Configurations

Body Worn Position

- (a) To position the device parallel to the phantom surface with either keypad up or down.
- (b) To adjust the device parallel to the flat phantom.
- (c) To adjust the distance between the device surface and the flat phantom to 1.5 cm or holster surface and the flat phantom to 0 cm.





Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	19 of 89

5 EXPOSURE LIMIT

In order for users to be aware of the body-worn operating requirements for meeting RF exposure compliance, operating instructions and cautions statements are included in the user's manual.

Uncontrolled Environment

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

Controlled Environment

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Whole-Body	Head and Trunk	Limbs
0.08	2.0	4.0

Note:

1) Whole-Body SAR is averaged over the entire body, Head and Trunk SAR is averaged over any 10 gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube.

SAR for limbs is averaged over any 10 grams of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube.

2) Head and Trunk limit applied to this EUT.



16070503T Test Report No. Page

20 of 89

SYSTEM AND LIQUID VALIDATION 6

System Validation




Fig 8.1 System Setup for System Evaluation

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave that comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:

- 1. Signal Generator
- 2. Amplifier
- 3. Directional Coupler
- 4. Power Meter
- 5. Calibrated Dipole

The output power on dipole port must be calibrated to 30 dBm (1000 mW) before dipole is connected.



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	21 of 89

System Verification Results

Prior to SAR assessment, the system is verified to 10% of the SAR measurement on the reference dipole at the time of calibration by the calibration facility. Full system validation status and result summary can be found in ANNEX A

Target and measurement SAR after Normalized:

Measurement Date	Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type (head/body)	Target SAR10g (W/kg)	Measured SAR10g (W/kg)	Normalized SAR10g (W/kg)	Deviation (%)
May 6, 2016	1900	head	39.52	0.3957	39.57	0.13

Note: system check input power: 10mW



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	22 of 89

Liquid Validation

The dielectric parameters were checked prior to assessment using the HP85070C dielectric probe kit. The dielectric parameters measured are reported in each correspondent section.

IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 P1528 recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest xpected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528, According to EN62209-2:2010, the liquid parameters for body are the same as head requirements

Frequency	Real part of the complex relative permittivity, ε'_r	Conductivity, σ
MHz		S/m
30	55,0	0,75
150	52,3	0,76
300	45,3	0,87
450	43,5	0,87
750	41,9	0,89
835	41,5	0,90
900	41,5	0,97
1 450	40,5	1,20
1 800	40,0	1,40
1 900	40,0	1,40
1 950	40,0	1,40
2 000	40,0	1,40
2 100	39,8	1,49
2 450	39,2	1,80
2 600	39,0	1,96
3 000	38,5	2,40
3 500	37,9	2,91
4 000	37,4	3,43
4 500	36,8	3,94
5 000	36,2	4,45
5 200	36,0	4,66
5 400	35,8	4,86
5 600	35,5	5,07
5 800	35,3	5,27
6 000	35,1	5,48

Table 1 – Dielectric properties of the tissue-equivalent liquid material



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	23 of 89

Liquid Confirmation Result:

Temperature: <u>21</u> °C , Relative humidity: <u>57</u> % ,							
Liquid type/							
Band(MHz)	Measureu Date	Description	٤r	σ(s/m)			
1800	May 6, 2016	Target Value \pm 5% window	40.00 38.00 — 42.00	1.40 1.33 — 1.47			
1000	May 6, 2016	Measurement Value	39.98	1.45			



 Test Report No.
 16070503T

 Page
 24 of 89

System Validation PlotsTest mode: 1900MHzProduct Description: ValidationModel: Dipole SID1800Test Date: May 6, 2016	
Medium(liquid type)	HSL 1900
Frequency (MHz)	1880.000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.98
Conductivity (S/m)	1.45
Input power	10mW
Crest factor	1.0
E-Field Probe	SN 27/15 EPGO262
Conversion Factor	2.01
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	0.640000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.119984
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.371036
Soldace Raddul Intervaly Control 100 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.000000 0.00000 0.0000000 0.00000 0.00000000000 0.000000 0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	Colume Reduited learnedy Colume Reduited



Test Report No. 16070503T 25 of 89 Page

TYPE A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY 7

The component of uncertainly may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainly by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type An evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance

A Type A evaluation of standard uncertainty may be based on any valid statistical method for treating data. This includes calculating the standard deviation of the mean of a series of independent observations; using the method of least squares to fit a curve to the data in order to estimate the parameter of the curve and their standard deviations; or carrying out an analysis of variance in order to identify and quantify random effects in certain kinds of measurement.

A type B evaluation of standard uncertainty is typically based on scientific judgment using all of the relevant information available. These may include previous measurement data, experience and specification, data provided in calibration reports and uncertainties assigned to reference data taken from handbooks. Broadly speaking, the uncertainty is either obtained from an outdoor source or obtained from an assumed distribution, such as the normal distribution, rectangular or triangular distributions indicated in Table below :

Uncertainty Distribution	Normal	Rectangle	Triangular	U Shape
Multi-plying Factor ^(a)	1/k ^(b)	1 / √3	1 / √6	1 / √2

(a) standard uncertainty is determined as the product of the multiplying factor and the estimated range of variations in the measured quantity

(b) κ is the coverage factor

Standard Uncertainty for Assumed Distribution

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type -sum-by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances.

Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. Typically, the coverage factor ranges from 2 to 3. Using a coverage factor allows the true value of a measured quantity to be specified with a defined probability within the specified uncertainty range. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %.

The COMOSAR Uncertainty Budget is show in below table:



Test Report No.	16070503T
	40070500T

Page

26 of 89

UNCERTAINTY FOR SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK								
Uncertainty Component	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	ci (1 g)	ci (10 g)	1 g ui (± %)	10 g ui (± %)	vi
Measurement System	-							
Probe Calibration	5,8	Ν	1	1	1	5,8	5,8	8
Axial Isotropy	3,5	R	√3	(1- cp)1/2	(1- cp)1/2	1,42887	1,42887	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	5,9	R	√3	√Ср	√Ср	2,40866	2,40866	~
Boundary Effect	1	R	√3	1	1		0,57735	∞
Linearity	4,7	R	√3	1	1		2,71355	ø
System Detection Limits	1	R	√3	1	1		0,57735	∞
Readout Electronics	0,5	Ν	1	1	1	0,5	0,5	∞
Response Time	0	R	√3	1	1	0	0	∞
Integration Time	1,4	R	√3	1	1	0,80829	0,80829	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	3	R	√3	1	1	1,73205		∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	1,4	R	√3	1	1	0,80829	0,80829	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	1,4	R	√3	1	1	0,80829	0,80829	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	2,3	R	√3	1	1	1,32791	1,32791	∞
Dipole	-							
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	2	Ν	√3	1	1	1,1547	1,1547	N-1
Input Power and SAR drift measurement	5	R	√3	1	1	2 88675	2,88675	8
Phantom and Tissue Parameters	0	1	10			2,00010	2,00010	
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	4	R	√3	1	1	2,3094	2,3094	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	5	R	√3	0,64	0,43	1,84752	1,2413	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	4	N	1	0,64	0,43	2,56	1,72	Μ
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	5	R	√3	0,6	0,49	1,73205	1,41451	8
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	5	Ν	1	0,6	0,49	3	2,45	М
Combined Standard Uncertainty		RSS				9,6671	9,1646	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL)		k				19,3342	18,3292	



Test Report No.	16070503T

Page

27 of 89

UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR HANDSET SAR TEST

	1	1		1		1		
	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	с _і (1 g)	c _i (10 g)	1 g u _i (± %)	10 g u _i (± %)	
Uncertainty Component						(± /0)	(± /0)	Vi
Measurement System		1						
Probe Calibration	5,8	N	1	1	1	5,8	5,8	∞
Axial Isotropy	3,5	R	√3	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	1,43	1,43	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	5,9	R	√3	$\sqrt{C_p}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	2,41	2,41	∞
Boundary Effect	1	R	√3	1	1	0,58	0,58	∞
Linearity	4,7	R	√3	1	1	2,71	2,71	∞
System Detection Limits	1	R	√3	1	1	0,58	0,58	00
Readout Electronics	0,5	Ν	1	1	1	0,50	0,50	00
Response Time	0	R	√3	1	1	0,00	0,00	∞
Integration Time	1,4	R	√3	1	1	0,81	0,81	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	3	R	√3	1	1	1,73	1,73	8
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	1,4	R	√3	1	1	0,81	0,81	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	1,4	R	√3	1	1	0,81	0,81	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	2,3	R	√3	1	1	1,33	1,33	∞
Test sample Related								
Test Sample Positioning	2,6	Ν	1	1	1	2,60	2,60	N-1
Device Holder Uncertainty	3	N	1	1	1	3,00	3,00	N-1
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	5	R	√3	1	1	2,89	2,89	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters								
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	4	R	√3	1	1	2,31	2,31	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	5	R	√3	0,64	0,43	1,85	1,24	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	4	Ν	1	0,64	0,43	2,56	1,72	М
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	5	R	√3	0,6	0,49	1,73	1,41	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	5	N	1	0,6	0,49	3,00	2,45	М
Combined Standard Uncertainty		RSS				10,39	9,92	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL)		k				20,78	19,84	



 Test Report No.
 16070503T

 Page
 28 of 89

8 TEST INSTRUMENT

TEST INSTRUMENTATION & GENERAL PROCEDURES								
Name of Equipment	Manufacturer	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date	Calibration Due			
PC	Compaq	PV 3.06GHz	375052-AA1	N/A	N/A			
Signal Generator	Agilent	8665B-008	3744A10293	05/15/2015	05/14/2016			
MultiMeter	Keithley	MiltiMeter 2000	1259033	06/21/2015	06/21/2016			
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753ES	US39173518	08/04/2015	08/04/2016			
Wireless Communication Test Set	R & S	CMU200	111078	07/22/2016	07/22/2016			
Power Meter	HP	437B	3038A03648	05/17/2015	05/17/2016			
E-field PROBE	MVG	SSE2	SN 27/15 EPGO262	07/09/2015	07/09/2016			
DIPOLE 900	SATIMO	SID 900	SN 31/10 DIPD 134	07/08/2015	07/08/2016			
DIPOLE 2000	SATIMO	SID 1900	SN 18/11 DIPG 153	06/25/2015	06/25/2016			
COMOSAR Open Coaxial Probe	SATIMO	OCP43	SN 24/11 OCPG43	06/03/2015	06/03/2016			
Communication Antenna	SATIMO	ANTA3	SN 20/11 ANTA 3	06/20/2015	06/20/2016			
COMOHAC TELEPHONE MAGNETIC FIELD SIMULATOR	SATIMO	TMFS12		06/03/2015	06/03/2016			
SAM PHANTOM	SATIMO	SAM87	SN 24/11 SAM87	N/A	N/A			
Elliptic Phantom	SATIMO	ELLI20	SN 20/11ELLI20	N/A	N/A			
PHANTOM TABLE	SATIMO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
6 AXIS ROBOT	KUKA	KR5	949272	N/A	N/A			
High Power Solid State Amplifier (80MHz~1000MHz)	Instruments for Industry	CMC150	M631-0408	N/A	N/A			
Medium Power Solid State Amplifier (0.8~4.2GHz)	Instruments for Industry	S41-25	M629-0408	N/A	N/A			
Wave Tube Amplifier 4- 8 GHz at 20Watt	Hughes Aircraft Company	1277H02F000	81	N/A	N/A			



Test Report No. 16070503T

Page

29 of 89

9 OUTPUT POWER VERIFICATION

Test Condition:

1.	Conducted Measurement EUT was set for low, mid, high chanr The base station simulator was conn	nel with modulated mode and highest RF ected to the antenna terminal.	output power.
2		Uncertainty e traceable to national standards. The un % (in the case where distributions are no	
3	Environmental Conditions	Temperature Relative Humidity Atmospheric Pressure	23ºC 53% 1019mbar
4	Test Date : March 31, 2016 Tested By : Wiky Jam		

Source-based Time Averaged Burst Power Calculation:

For TDMA, the following duty cycle factor was used to calculate the source-based time average power

Number of Time slot	1	2	3	4
Duty Cycle	1:8	1:4	1:2.66	1:2
Duty cycle factor	-9.03 dB	-6.02 dB	-4.26 dB	-3.01 dB
Crest Factor	8	4	2.66	2

Remark: <u>Time slot duty cycle factor = 10 * log (1 / Time Slot Duty Cycle)</u>

Source based time averaged power = Maximum burst averaged power (1 Uplink) – 9.03 dB Source based time averaged power = Maximum burst averaged power (2 Uplink) – 6.02 dB Source based time averaged power = Maximum burst averaged power (4 Uplink) – 3.01 Db



16070503T Test Report No.

Page

30 of 89

10 SAR TEST RESULTS

Test Condition:

3

SAR Measurement 1.

> The distance between the EUT and the antenna of the emulator is more than 50 cm and the output power radiated from the emulator antenna is at least 30 dB less than the output power of EUT.

2 **Environmental Conditions**

Temperature 23°C **Relative Humidity** 57% Atmospheric Pressure 1019mbar Test Date : March 31, 2016 ~ May 6, 2015 Tested By :Wiky Jam

SAR Summary Test Result:

S7 edge (10g)mW/g							
Date of Measured : May 6th, 2016 Head Separation Distance:0cm							
Freq Band	Mode	Channel	Position	SAR Without chip(10g)mW/g	SAR With chip (10g)mW/g	Limit (W/kg)	Power Drift (%)
WCDMA1900	RMC 12.2kbps	Mid	Right-Head Cheek	0.063	0.043	2.0	-0.54

S7 SAR (10g)mW/g							
Date of Measured :May 6th, 2016 Head Separation Distance:0cm							
Freq Band	Mode	Channel	Position	SAR Without chip(10g)mW/g	SAR Withchip (10g)mW/g	Limit (W/kg)	Power Drift (%)
WCDMA1900	RMC 12.2kbps	Mid	Right-Head Cheek	0.167	0.088	2.0	1.49

- Note: 1) Body SAR is not required for HSDPA when the average output of each RF channel with HSDPA active is less than 0.25dB higher than measured without HSDPA using 12.2kbps RMC or the maximum SAR for 12.2kbps RMC<75% of the SAR limit.
 - 2) Body SAR is not required for handset with HSPA capabilities when the maximum average output of each RF channel with HSUPA/HSDPA active is less than 0.25dB higher than that measure without HSUPA/HSDPA using 12.2kbps RMC AND the maximum SAR for 12.2kbps RMC is<75% of the SAR limit
 - 3) if the measured 10-g SAR is \leq 1.0W/kg, then testing for other channel is optional and will not be performed




Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	31 of 89


SAR measurement Plots (S7 edge With chip) : WCDMA1900MHz, Mid channel (Head SAR) Test Mode **Product Description** : Cellsafe chip




: Samsung S7 & S7 edge : May 6th, 2016

Frequency (MHz)	1880.000000		
	(Right Head, Cheek)		
Relative permittivity (real part)	40.91		
Conductivity (S/m)	0.89		
Crest Factor	1.0		
Conversion Factor	3.49		
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm		
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm		
Variation (%)	1.860000		
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.043029		
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.070200		
SURFACE SAR	VOLUME SAR		









 Test Report No.
 16070503T

 Page
 32 of 89


SAR measurement Plots (S7 edge Without chip)

Test Mode	: WCDMA1900MHz, Mid channel (Head SAR)
Product Description	: Cellsafe chip
Model	: Samsung S7 & S7 edge
Test Date	: May 6th, 2016

Frequency (MHz)	836.60000 (Right Head , Cheek)
Relative permittivity (real part)	40.91
Conductivity (S/m)	0.89
Crest Factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	3.49
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	2.240000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.062767
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.109475
SURFACE SAR	VOLUME SAR
	2Cdst Context 00 2Cdst Context 00 0.002540 00 0.002540 00 0.002540 00 0.002540 00 0.002540 00 0.002540 00 0.002540 00 0.002541 00 <t< td=""></t<>



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	33 of 89





Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	34 of 89

SAR measurement Plots (S7 Without chip)

Test Mode Product Description	: WCDMA1900MHz, Mid channel (Head SAR) : Cellsafe chip
Model	: Samsung S7 & S7 edge
Test Date	: May 6th, 2016

Frequency (MHz)	1880.000000			
	(Right Head, Cheek)			
Relative permittivity (real part)	40.91			
Conductivity (S/m)	0.89			
Crest Factor	1.0			
Conversion Factor	3.49			
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm			
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm			
Variation (%)	1.860000			
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.166653			
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.287230			
SURFACE SAR	VOLUME SAR			
SAR Visualisation Gregotical Intentace Statlance Resident Intentace Zoom In/Out	SAR Visualisation Singhical Intellace Volume Radioted Interlace Zoom In/Out			
Constrained 100 0.027628 100 0.027628 100 0.027628 00	Cakes Scoler 190- 0.25248 0.25248 100- 0.25248 0.25248 100- 0.25248 0.25248 100- 0.25248 0.25248 100- 0.25248 0.25248 100- 0.25248 0.12584 100- 0.052416 0.12584 100- 0.052416 0.12584 100- 0.052416 0.12584 100- 0.052416 0.052416 100- 0.052416			



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	35 of 89


Annex A CALIBRATION REPORTS

SARTIMO Calibration Certificate-Extended Dipole Calibrations


According to KDB865664 D01, Dipoles must be recalibrated at least once every three years; however, immediate re-calibration is required for following conditions. The test laboratory must ensure that the required supporting information and documentation have been included in the SAR report to qualify for extended 3-year calibration interval.

- When the most recent return-loss, measured at least annually, deviates by more than 20% from the previous measurement (i.e. 0.2 of the dB value) or not meeting the required -20 dB return-loss specification
- 2) When the most recent measurement of the real or imaginary parts of the impedance, measured at least annually, deviates by more than 5Ω from the previous measurement

Dipole Verification plot: SID 900 SN 31/10 DIPC150 900MHz for Head:




Dipole Verification plot: SID 1800 SN 18/11 DIPF152 1800MHz for Head:





Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	36 of 89

Dipole Verification plot: SID 2000 SN 31/10 DIPG153 2000MHz for Head:



SID 900 SN 31/10 DIPC150 For Head						
Return- Loss Deviate (dB) (dB)		Real Impedance (Ω)	lmaginary Impedance (Ω)	Deviate (Ω)	Calibrate Date	
-42.41			50		06/018/2014	
-42.570	-0.16	50.506	50	0.506	07/08/2015	

SID 1800 SN 18/11 DIPF152 For Head								
Return- Loss (dB)	Deviate (dB)	Real Impedance (Ω)	Imaginary Impedance (Ω)	Deviate (Ω)	Calibrate Date			
-28.21			50		06/18/2014			
-28.433	-0.223	49.777	50	-0.223	07/09/2015			

SID 2000 SN 31/10 DIPCG153 For Head								
Return- Loss (dB)	Deviate (dB)	Real Impedance (Ω)	lmaginary Impedance (Ω)	Deviate (Ω)	Calibrate Date			
-35.65			50		06/18/2014			
-35.458	0.192	49.734	50	0.266	07/09/2015			

According to up table, the return loss is <-20dB, deviates by less than 20% from the previous measurement; the real Impedance are all within 5 Ω compared to the required Impedance (50 Ω).



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	37 of 89



COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.265.1.15.SATU.A

SIEMIC TESTING AND CERTIFICATION SERVICES

ZONE A,FLOOR 1,BUILDING 2,WAN YE LONG TECHNOLOGY PARK,SOUTH SIDE OF ZHOUSHI ROAD, SHIYAN STREET,BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN 518108, GUANGDONG, P.R.C.

MVG COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE

SERIAL NO.: SN 27/15 EPGO262



Calibration Date: 07/09/2015

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR Dosimetric E-Field Probe calibration performed in MVG USA using the CALISAR / CALIBAIR test bench, for use with a COMOSAR system only. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	38 of 89

	Name		Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Pro	oduct Manager	9/22/2015	JS
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Pr	oduct Manager	9/22/2015	JS
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSK	J Q	uality Manager	9/22/2015	Aum Authmosti
	Distribu	tion :	SIEMIC TESTI AND CERTIFICATI SERVICES	ON	
<i>d</i> 2	13	tion :	AND CERTIFICATI SERVICES		
Issue A	Date 1		AND CERTIFICATI SERVICES	ON odifications	
Issue A	Date	tion : initial relea	AND CERTIFICATI SERVICES		
	Date 1		AND CERTIFICATI SERVICES		



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	39 of 89

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
1 L	Device Under Test	4
2 P	roduct Description	4
2.1	General Information	
3 N	leasurement Method	4
3.1	Linearity	
3.2	Sensitivity	
3.3	Lower Detection Limit	
3.4		
3.5	Boundary Effect	
	Aeasurement Uncertainty	
5 C	alibration Measurement Results	
5.1	Sensitivity in air	
5.2		
5.3	Sensitivity in liquid	
5.4	Isotropy	

Page: 3/10



1

Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	40 of 89

COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref. ACR 265 1.15 SATU A

DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test				
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE			
Manufacturer	MVG			
Model	SSE2			
Serial Number	SN 27/15 EPGO262			
Product Condition (new / used)	New			
Frequency Range of Probe	0.7 GHz-6GHz			
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1: R1=0.222 MΩ			
	Dipole 2: R2=0.200 MΩ			
	Dipole 3: R3=0.200 MΩ			

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards.

-		and the second se
2	mill	
		A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	2 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	2.5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	1 mm

3 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100 W/kg.

Page: 4/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	41 of 89

mvg	COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT	Ref ACR 265.1.15 SATU
Concession Maline Data		

3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 - 360 degrees in 15 degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis (0°-180°) in 15° increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis (0°-360°).

3.5 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)
Incident or forward power	3.00%	Rectangular	√3	1	1.732%
Reflected power	3.00%	Rectan gular	<u></u> √3	Ű.	1.732%
L iquid conductivity	5.00%	Rectan gular	<u> </u>	1	2.887%
Liquid permittivity	4.00%	Rectangular	<u> </u>	1	2.309%
Field homogeneity	3.00%	Rectan gular	<u> </u>	1	1.732%
Field probe positioning	5.00%	Rectan gular	√3	1	2.887%

Page: 5/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	42 of 89



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref. ACR 265.1.15.SATU A

Field probe linearity	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Combined standard uncertainty	5	66	<u> </u>		5.831%
Expanded uncertainty 95% confidence level k = 2	f.				12.0%

5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Calibration Parameters		
Liquid Temperature	21 °C	
Lab Temperature	21 °C	
Lab Humidity	45 %	


5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR

		Normz dipole 3 $(\mu V/(V/m)^2)$
0.78	0.70	0.72

DCP dipole 1	DCP dipole 2	DCP dipole 3
(mV)	(mV)	(mV)
92	90	90

Calibration curves ei=f(V) (i=1,2,3) allow to obtain H-field value using the formula: $r = \sqrt{r^2 + r^2}$


$$E = \sqrt{E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2}$$




Page: 6/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	43 of 89



5.2 LINEARITY



Linearity(1+/-1.78% (+/-0.08dB)

5.3 SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID


Liquid	<u>Frequency</u> (MHz +/- 100MHz)	Permittivity	Epsilon (S/m)	ConvF
HL750	750	41.82	0.90	1.68
BL750	750	56.28	0.98	1.74
HL850	835	42.59	0.90	1.90
BL850	835	53.19	0.97	1.97
HL900	900	42.05	0.98	1.75
BL900	900	56,41	1.08	1.81
HL1800	1800	41.82	1.38	2.01
BL1800	1800	53.00	1.52	2.05
HL1900	1900	40,38	1.41	2.26
BL1900	1900	53.93	1.55	2.32
HL2000	2000	40.12	1.43	2.16
BL2000	2000	53.65	1.54	225
HL2450	2450	38.34	1.80	2.22
BL2450	2450	52.70	1.94	2.29
HL2600	2600	38.16	1.93	2.28
BL2600	2600	51.55	2.21	2.34
HL3500	3500	37.01	2.89	2.31
BL3500	3500	52.99	3.20	2.40
HL5200	5200	36.44	4.79	1.96
BL5200	5200	50.70	5.11	2.04
HL5400	5400	35.99	4.91	2.11
BL5400	5400	50.01	5.64	2.22
HL5600	5600	35.22	5.18	2.15
BL5600	5600	49.34	5.85	2.21
HL5800	5800	34.95	5.42	2.13
BL5800	5800	48.54	6.22	218

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 8mW/kg

Page: 7/10




Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	44 of 89





Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	45 of 89



Page: 9/10



 Test Report No.
 16070503T

 Page
 46 of 89



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref ACR 265.1.15.SATU.A

6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment	Manufacturer /		Current	Next Calibration
Description	Model	Identification No.	Calibration Date	Date
Flat Phantom	MVG	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated, No cal required.	Validated. No ca required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No ca required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN 100132	02/2013	02/2016
Reference Probe	MVG	EP 94 SN 37/08	10/2014	10/2015
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	12/2013	12/2016
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	12/2013	12/2016
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	12/2013	12/2016
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	12/2013	12/2016
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Waveguide	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-712	Validated, No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Transition	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Termination	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Control Company	11-661-9	8/2012	8/2015

Page: 10/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	47 of 89



SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report


Ref: ACR.170.2.14.SATU.A

SIEMIC TESTING AND CERTIFICATION SERVICES

ZONE A,FLOOR 1,BUILDING 2,WAN YE LONG TECHNOLOGY PARK,SOUTH SIDE OF ZHOUSHI ROAD, SHIYAN STREET,BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN 518108 , GUANGDONG , P.R.C.

SATIMO COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE

FREQUENCY: 900 MHZ SERIAL NO.: SN 18/11 DIPD151





06/18/2014

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in SATIMO USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	48 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.2.14.SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	6/19/2014	JS
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	6/19/2014	Jez
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	6/19/2014	Aim Puthowshi

	Customer Name
Distribution :	SIEMIC Testing
	and Certification
	Services

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	6/19/2014	Initial release

Page: 2/10



Test Report No. 16070503T

Page 49 of 89

Ref: ACR.170.2.14.SATU.A

SATIMO

SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Intro	oduction	
2	Dev	ice Under Test	
3	Proc	luct Description	
	3.1	General Information	4
4	Mea	surement Method	
	4.1	Return Loss Requirements	5
	4.2	Mechanical Requirements	
5	Mea	surement Uncertainty	
	5.1	Return Loss	5
	5.2	Dimension Measurement	5
	5.3	Validation Measurement	5
6	Cali	bration Measurement Results	
	6.1	Return Loss and Impedance	6
	6.2	Mechanical Dimensions	6
7	Vali	dation measurement	
	7.1	Measurement Condition	7
	7.2	Head Liquid Measurement	7
	7.3	Measurement Result	8
	7.4	Body Measurement Result	9
8	List	of Equipment	

Page: 3/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	50 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.2.14.SATU.A

1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test				
Device Type COMOSAR 900 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE				
Manufacturer	Satimo			
Model	SID900			
Serial Number	SN 18/11 DIPD151			
Product Condition (new / used)	used			

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Satimo's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 – Satimo COMOSAR Validation Dipole

Page: 4/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	51 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.2.14.SATU.A

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constucted as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss			
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB			

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length		
3 - 300	0.05 mm		

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty		
1 g	20.3 %		
10 g	20.1 %		

Page: 5/10




Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	52 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.2.14.SATU.A

6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE



6.2 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	Lmm		h mm		d mm	
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 ±1 %.		250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
450	290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
750	176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
835	161.0 ±1 %.		89.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
900	149.0 ±1 %.	PASS	83.3 ±1 %.	PASS	3.6 ±1 %.	PASS
1450	89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1500	80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1640	79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1750	75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1800	72.0 ±1 %.		41.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1900	68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1950	66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2000	64.5 ±1 %.		37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2100	61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2300	55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2450	51.5 ±1 %.		30.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2600	48.5 ±1 %.		28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.		25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3500	37.0±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3700	34.7±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	

Page: 6/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	53 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.2.14.SATU.A

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 MEASUREMENT CONDITION

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps' : 42.3 sigma : 0.96
Distance between dipole center and liquid	15.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	900 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

7.2 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ɛˌ')		Conductiv	ity (σ) S/m
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
450	43.5 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
750	41.9 ±5 %		0.89 ±5 %	
835	41.5 ±5 %		0.90 ±5 %	
900	41.5 ±5 %	PASS	0.97 ±5 %	PASS
1450	40.5 ±5 %		1.20 ±5 %	
1500	40.4 ±5 %		1.23 ±5 %	
1640	40.2 ±5 %		1.31 ±5 %	
1750	40.1 ±5 %		1.37 ±5 %	
1800	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1900	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1950	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2000	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2100	39.8 ±5 %		1.49 ±5 %	
2300	39.5 ±5 %		1.67 ±5 %	
2450	39.2 ±5 %		1.80 ±5 %	
2600	39.0 ±5 %		1.96 ±5 %	
3000	38.5 ±5 %		2.40 ±5 %	
3500	37.9 ±5 %		2.91 ±5 %	

Page: 7/10



SATIMO

CB NB CAB ACB	P

 Test Report No.
 16070503T

 Page
 54 of 89


SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.170.2.14.SATU.A

7.3 MEASUREMENT RESULT

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9	11.18 (1.12)	6.99	6.95 (0.70
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	
1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4		24	
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	



Page: 8/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	55 of 89




Ref: ACR.170.2.14.SATU.A

7.4 BODY MEASUREMENT RESULT

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps' : 56.8 sigma : 1.06
Distance between dipole center and liquid	15.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	900 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	measured	measured	
900	11.42 (1.14)	7.10 (0.71)	



Page: 9/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	56 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.2.14.SATU.A

8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
SAM Phantom	Satimo	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2013	02/2016
Calipers	Carrera	CALIPER-01	12/2013	12/2016
Reference Probe	Satimo	EPG122 SN 18/11	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	12/2013	12/2016
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	12/2013	12/2016
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	12/2013	12/2016
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	12/2013	12/2016
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company	11-661-9	8/2012	8/2015

Page: 10/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	57 of 89



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	58 of 89



SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref: ACR.170.3.14.SATU.A

SIEMIC TESTING AND CERTIFICATION SERVICES

ZONE A,FLOOR 1,BUILDING 2,WAN YE LONG TECHNOLOGY PARK,SOUTH SIDE OF ZHOUSHI ROAD, SHIYAN STREET,BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN 518108 , GUANGDONG , P.R.C.

SATIMO COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE

FREQUENCY: 1800 MHZ SERIAL NO.: SN 18/11 DIPF152

Calibrated at SATIMO US 2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144



06/18/2014

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in SATIMO USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	59 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.3.14.SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	6/19/2014	JS
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	6/19/2014	JS
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	6/19/2014	thim Butthourshi

	Customer Name
Distribution :	SIEMIC Testing and Certification Services

Issue	Date	Modifications
А	6/19/2014	Initial release

Page: 2/10



Test Report No. 16070503T

Page 60 of 89

SATIMO

SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.170.3.14.SATU.A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Intr	oduction	
2	Dev	rice Under Test	
3	Pro	duct Description	
	3.1	General Information	4
4	Mea	asurement Method	
	4.1	Return Loss Requirements	5
	4.2	Mechanical Requirements	5
5	Mea	asurement Uncertainty	
	5.1	Return Loss	5
	5.2	Dimension Measurement	5
	5.3	Validation Measurement	5
6	Cal	bration Measurement Results	
	6.1	Return Loss and Impedance	6
	6.2	Mechanical Dimensions	6
7	Val	idation measurement	
	7.1	Measurement Condition	7
	7.2	Head Liquid Measurement	7
	7.3	Measurement Result	8
	7.4	Body Measurement Result	9
8	List	of Equipment	

Page: 3/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	61 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.3.14.SATU.A

1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test		
Device Type	COMOSAR 1800 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE	
Manufacturer	Satimo	
Model	SID1800	
Serial Number	SN 18/11 DIPF152	
Product Condition (new / used)	used	

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Satimo's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 – Satimo COMOSAR Validation Dipole

Page: 4/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	62 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.3.14.SATU.A

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constucted as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 <u>RETURN LOSS</u>

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
3 - 300	0.05 mm

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	20.3 %
10 g	20.1 %

Page: 5	/10
---------	-----



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	63 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.3.14.SATU.A

6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
1800	-28.21	-20	46.5 Ω + 1.0 jΩ

6.2 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	Lm	ım	hm	m	d r	nm
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 ±1 %.		250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
450	290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
750	176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
835	161.0 ±1 %.		89.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
900	149.0 ±1 %.		83.3 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1450	89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1500	80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1640	79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1750	75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1800	72.0 ±1 %.	PASS	41.7 ±1 %.	PASS	3.6 ±1 %.	PASS
1900	68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1950	66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2000	64.5 ±1 %.		37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2100	61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2300	55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2450	51.5 ±1 %.		30.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2600	48.5 ±1 %.		28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.		25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3500	37.0±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3700	34.7±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	

Page: 6/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	64 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.3.14.SATU.A

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 MEASUREMENT CONDITION

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps' : 40.9 sigma : 1.36
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	1800 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

7.2 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ε _r ')		Conductiv	ity (σ) S/m
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
450	43.5 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
750	41.9 ±5 %		0.89 ±5 %	
835	41.5 ±5 %		0.90 ±5 %	
900	41.5 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
1450	40.5 ±5 %		1.20 ±5 %	
1500	40.4 ±5 %		1.23 ±5 %	
1640	40.2 ±5 %		1.31 ±5 %	
1750	40.1 ±5 %		1.37 ±5 %	
1800	40.0 ±5 %	PASS	1.40 ±5 %	PASS
1900	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1950	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2000	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2100	39.8 ±5 %		1.49 ±5 %	
2300	39.5 ±5 %		1.67 ±5 %	
2450	39.2 ±5 %		1.80 ±5 %	
2600	39.0 ±5 %		1.96 ±5 %	
3000	38.5 ±5 %		2.40 ±5 %	
3500	37.9 ±5 %		2.91 ±5 %	

Page: 7/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	65 of 89




Ref: ACR.170.3.14.SATU.A

7.3 MEASUREMENT RESULT

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR	(W/kg/W)
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85	1	1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49	[5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29	[16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4	38.44 (3.84)	20.1	19.96 (2.00
1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4		24	36
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1	1	25	



Page: 8/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	66 of 89




Ref: ACR.170.3.14.SATU.A

7.4 BODY MEASUREMENT RESULT

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps' : 52.6 sigma : 1.47
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	1800 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)
	measured	measured
1800	39.59 (3.96)	20.55 (2.05)



Page: 9/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	67 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.3.14.SATU.A

8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
SAM Phantom	Satimo	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2013	02/2016
Calipers	Carrera	CALIPER-01	12/2013	12/2016
Reference Probe	Satimo	EPG122 SN 18/11	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	12/2013	12/2016
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	12/2013	12/2016
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	12/2013	12/2016
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	12/2013	12/2016
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company	11-661-9	8/2012	8/2015

Page: 10/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	68 of 89



SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.170.5.14.SATU.A

SIEMIC TESTING AND CERTIFICATION SERVICES

ZONE A,FLOOR 1,BUILDING 2,WAN YE LONG TECHNOLOGY PARK,SOUTH SIDE OF ZHOUSHI ROAD, SHIYAN STREET,BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN 518108 , GUANGDONG , P.R.C.

SATIMO COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE FREQUENCY: 2000 MHZ SERIAL NO.: SN 18/11 DIPI154

Calibrated at SATIMO US 2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144



Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in SATIMO USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	69 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.5.14.SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	6/19/2014	JS
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	6/19/2014	JS
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	6/19/2014	thim Butchowski

	Customer Name
Distribution :	SIEMIC Testing and Certification Services

Issue	Date	Modifications
А	6/19/2014	Initial release

Page: 2/10



16070503T Test Report No. 70 of 89

Page

Ref: ACR.170.5.14.SATU.A

SATIMO

SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Intro	oduction	
2	Dev	ice Under Test	
3	Prod	luct Description	
	3.1	General Information	4
4	Mea	surement Method	
	4.1	Return Loss Requirements	5
	4.2	Mechanical Requirements	5
5	Mea	surement Uncertainty	
	5.1	Return Loss	5
	5.2	Dimension Measurement	5
	5.3	Validation Measurement	5
6	Cali	bration Measurement Results	
	6.1	Return Loss and Impedance	6
	6.2	Mechanical Dimensions	6
7	Vali	dation measurement	
	7.1	Measurement Condition	7
	7.2	Head Liquid Measurement	7
	7.3	Measurement Result	8
	7.4	Body Measurement Result	9
8	List	of Equipment	

Page: 3/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	71 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.5.14.SATU.A

1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test		
Device Type	COMOSAR 2000 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE	
Manufacturer	Satimo	
Model	SID2000	
Serial Number	SN 18/11 DIPI154	
Product Condition (new / used)	used	

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Satimo's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 – Satimo COMOSAR Validation Dipole

Page: 4/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	72 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.5.14.SATU.A

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constucted as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
3 - 300	0.05 mm

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	20.3 %
10 g	20.1 %

Page: 5/10




Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	73 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.5.14.SATU.A

6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2000	-35.65	-20	50.0 Ω + 1.7 jΩ

6.2 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	Lm	ากา	hm	m	d n	nm
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 ±1 %.		250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
450	290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
750	176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
835	161.0 ±1 %.		89.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
900	149.0 ±1 %.		83.3 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1450	89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1500	80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1640	79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1750	75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1800	72.0 ±1 %.		41.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1900	68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1950	66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2000	64.5 ±1 %.	PASS	37.5 ±1 %.	PASS	3.6 ±1 %.	PASS
2100	61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2300	55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2450	51.5 ±1 %.		30.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2600	48.5 ±1 %.		28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.		25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3500	37.0±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3700	34.7±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	

Page: 6/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	74 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.5.14.SATU.A

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 MEASUREMENT CONDITION

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps' : 39.4 sigma : 1.44
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	2000 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

7.2 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ε,')		Conductiv	ity (σ) S/m
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
450	43.5 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
750	41.9 ±5 %		0.89 ±5 %	
835	41.5 ±5 %		0.90 ±5 %	
900	41.5 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
1450	40.5 ±5 %		1.20 ±5 %	
1500	40.4 ±5 %		1.23 ±5 %	
1640	40.2 ±5 %		1.31 ±5 %	
1750	40.1 ±5 %		1.37 ±5 %	
1800	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1900	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1950	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2000	40.0 ±5 %	PASS	1.40 ±5 %	PASS
2100	39.8 ±5 %		1.49 ±5 %	
2300	39.5 ±5 %		1.67 ±5 %	
2450	39.2 ±5 %		1.80 ±5 %	
2600	39.0 ±5 %		1.96 ±5 %	
3000	38.5 ±5 %		2.40 ±5 %	
3500	37.9 ±5 %		2.91 ±5 %	

Page: 7/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	75 of 89




Ref: ACR.170.5.14.SATU.A

7.3 MEASUREMENT RESULT

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	2
1800	38.4		20.1	
1900	39.7		20.5	- 55
1950	40.5		20.9	2 12
2000	41.1	42.40 (4.24)	21.1	20.61 (2.06)
2100	43.6		21.9	0
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4		24	
2600	55.3		24.6	0
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	



Page: 8/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	76 of 89




Ref: ACR.170.5.14.SATU.A

7.4 BODY MEASUREMENT RESULT

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps' : 52.2 sigma : 1.53
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	2000 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)
	measured	measured
2000	45.40 (4.54)	21.80 (2.18)



Page: 9/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	77 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.5.14.SATU.A

8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
SAM Phantom	Satimo	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2013	02/2016
Calipers	Carrera	CALIPER-01	12/2013	12/2016
Reference Probe	Satimo	EPG122 SN 18/11	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	12/2013	12/2016
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	12/2013	12/2016
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	12/2013	12/2016
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	12/2013	12/2016
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company	11-661-9	8/2012	8/2015

Page: 10/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	78 of 89



SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref: ACR.170.4.14.SATU.A

SIEMIC TESTING AND CERTIFICATION SERVICES

ZONE A,FLOOR 1,BUILDING 2,WAN YE LONG TECHNOLOGY PARK,SOUTH SIDE OF ZHOUSHI ROAD, SHIYAN STREET,BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN 518108, GUANGDONG, P.R.C.

SATIMO COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE

FREQUENCY: 1900 MHZ

SERIAL NO.: SN 18/11 DIPG153

Calibrated at SATIMO US 2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144



06/18/2014

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in SATIMO USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	79 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.4.14.SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	6/19/2014	JS
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	6/19/2014	JS
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	6/19/2014	thim Butthowshi

	Customer Name
Distribution :	SIEMIC Testing and Certification Services

Issue	Date	Modifications
А	6/19/2014	Initial release

Page: 2/10



16070503T Test Report No. 80 of 89

Page

Ref: ACR.170.4.14.SATU.A

SATIMO

SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Intro	oduction	
2	Dev	ice Under Test	
3	Proc	luct Description	
	3.1	General Information	4
4	Mea	surement Method	
	4.1	Return Loss Requirements	5
	4.2	Mechanical Requirements	5
5	Mea	surement Uncertainty	
	5.1	Return Loss	5
	5.2	Dimension Measurement	5
	5.3	Validation Measurement	5
6	Cali	bration Measurement Results	
	6.1	Return Loss and Impedance	6
	6.2	Mechanical Dimensions	6
7	Vali	dation measurement	
	7.1	Measurement Condition	7
	7.2	Head Liquid Measurement	7
	7.3	Measurement Result	8
	7.4	Body Measurement Result	9
8	List	of Equipment	

Page: 3/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	81 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.4.14.SATU.A

1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test		
Device Type	COMOSAR 1900 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE	
Manufacturer	Satimo	
Model	SID1900	
Serial Number	SN 18/11 DIPG153	
Product Condition (new / used)	used	

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Satimo's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 – Satimo COMOSAR Validation Dipole

Page: 4/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	82 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.4.14.SATU.A

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constucted as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 <u>RETURN LOSS</u>

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
3 - 300	0.05 mm

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	20.3 %
10 g	20.1 %

Page:	5/10
-------	------



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	83 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.4.14.SATU.A

6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
1900	-21.22	-20	52.7 Ω + 8.6 jΩ

6.2 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	Lmm		h mm		d mm	
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 ±1 %.		250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
450	290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
750	176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
835	161.0 ±1 %.		89.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
900	149.0 ±1 %.		83.3 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1450	89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1500	80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1640	79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1750	75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1800	72.0 ±1 %.		41.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1900	68.0 ±1 %.	PASS	39.5 ±1 %.	PASS	3.6 ±1 %.	PASS
1950	66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2000	64.5 ±1 %.		37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2100	61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2300	55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2450	51.5 ±1 %.		30.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2600	48.5 ±1 %.		28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.		25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3500	37.0±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3700	34.7±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	

Page: 6/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	84 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.4.14.SATU.A

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 MEASUREMENT CONDITION

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps' : 40.9 sigma : 1.45
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	1900 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

7.2 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ɛˌ')		Conductiv	ity (σ) S/m
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
450	43.5 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
750	41.9 ±5 %		0.89 ±5 %	
835	41.5 ±5 %		0.90 ±5 %	
900	41.5 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
1450	40.5 ±5 %		1.20 ±5 %	
1500	40.4 ±5 %		1.23 ±5 %	
1640	40.2 ±5 %		1.31 ±5 %	
1750	40.1 ±5 %		1.37 ±5 %	
1800	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1900	40.0 ±5 %	PASS	1.40 ±5 %	PASS
1950	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2000	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2100	39.8 ±5 %		1.49 ±5 %	
2300	39.5 ±5 %		1.67 ±5 %	
2450	39.2 ±5 %		1.80 ±5 %	
2600	39.0 ±5 %		1.96 ±5 %	
3000	38.5 ±5 %		2.40 ±5 %	
3500	37.9 ±5 %		2.91 ±5 %	

Page: 7/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	85 of 89




Ref: ACR.170.4.14.SATU.A

7.3 MEASUREMENT RESULT

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR	(W/kg/W)
Ĩ	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	
1900	39.7	39.52 (3.95)	20.5	20.03 (2.00
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4		24	
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	



Page: 8/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	86 of 89




Ref: ACR.170.4.14.SATU.A

7.4 BODY MEASUREMENT RESULT

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps' : 53.6 sigma : 1.52
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	1900 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	measured	measured	
1900	42.88 (4.29)	21.39 (2.14)	



Page: 9/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	87 of 89



Ref: ACR.170.4.14.SATU.A

8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet						
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date		
SAM Phantom	Satimo	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.		
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.		
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2013	02/2016		
Calipers	Carrera	CALIPER-01	12/2013	12/2016		
Reference Probe	Satimo	EPG122 SN 18/11	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.		
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	12/2013	12/2016		
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	12/2013	12/2016		
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.		
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	12/2013	12/2016		
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	12/2013	12/2016		
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.		
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company	11-661-9	8/2012	8/2015		

Page: 10/10



Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	88 of 89

Annex B SAR System PHOTOGRAPHS



Liquid depth \geq 15cm





Test Report No.	16070503T
Page	89 of 89

Annex C SETUP PHOTO Samsung S7 EUT:





Samsung S7 edge EUT:



Test Setup photo:



